

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/822,978 | 04/13/2004 | Robin Edwin Buckingham | P31902C3 | 3344 |
| 7 | 590 11/04/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| GLAXOSMI' | THKLINE | | WEDDINGTO | ON, KEVIN E |
| Corporate Intellectual Property - UW2220 P.O. Box 1539 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| King of Prussia, PA 19406-0939 | | | 1614 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/822,978 | BUCKINGHAM ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Kevin E. Weddington | 1614 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | ` <u>`</u> | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/529,527. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notion (3) Infor | ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 13-26 are presented for examination.

Applicants' preliminary amendment and information disclosure statement filed April 13, 2004 have been received and entered.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/529527, filed on October 12, 1998. *Double Patenting*

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 13-26 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 22-24 and 26-31 of copending Application No. 10/154,725.

Both applications are claiming the same invention:

A method for the treatment of hyperglycaemia wherein plasma glucose levels are in the range from >126 mg/dl to 140 mg/dl, which methods comprises administering an effective non-toxic and pharmaceutically acceptable amount of 5-[-[2-(N-methyl-N-me

Art Unit: 1614

(2-pyridyl)amino)ethoxy]benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione, or a tautomer thereof, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt or solvent thereof, to a mammal in need thereof.

Also note both applications claiming the same dosage range of 2 to 12 mg of the active ingredient.

This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 13-26 are not allowed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-15 of copending Application No. 10/154,725. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present application teaches the active ingredient is administered in a dosage of 2 mg (claim 18),

Art Unit: 1614

4 mg (claim 19) and 8 mg (claim 20); and the copending application teaches the dosage range of the same active ingredient as in claim 13, (2 to 4 mg, 4 to 8 mg, or 8 to 12 mg). Obviously, the present application dosage fall within the copending application dosage range.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 18-20 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being Olefsky et al. by (WO 95/07694) of PTO-1449.

Olefsky et al. teach thiazolidinediones (well-known antihyperglycemic agents) to prevent or delay the onset of NIIDM. Note the applicants' preferred active ingredient, 5-[4-[2-(N-methyl-N-(2-pyridyl)amino)ethoxy]benzyl]thiazolidine-2,4-dione, disclosed on page 26, lines 25 and 26. Note page 26, line 32 discloses the active ingredient is used to treat hyperglycemia, a symptom associated with NIIDM. Note particularly, page 31, second paragraph, disclosed the dosage range, in which, the active ingredient can be

Art Unit: 1614

administered (0.1 mg to 100 mg), the applicants' of 2 to 12 mg fall within the cited reference's range. Finally, on page 34, under the <u>WHO Diagnostic Criteria</u> Table, it shows the range of serum (plasma) glucose levels for fasting >140 mg/dl. The applicants' preferred plasma glucose range of >126 to 140 mg/dl falls within the cited reference's range. Clearly, the cited reference anticipates the applicants' instant invention, therefore, the instant invention is unpatentable.

Claims 123-26 are not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00 am-7:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on (571)272-0953. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1614

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington October 31, 2004